## NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	C
<b>v.</b>	Case Number CR-99-2008 RMW
Jose Ramon Rivera-Pura, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the D. I.D. C.	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	2(f), a detention hearing was held on 4/9 2009
- section is a probond, represented by his attorney	The United States was represented by
	The representation by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. 8 3142(f)(1) and the defendant bank
y and the state of	uce the date of conviction and a rederal, state or local
imprisonment, whichever is later.	the date of conviction of the release of the person from
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition safety of any other person and the community	on combination of the
safety of any other person and the community.	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ There is probable cause based upon (the indicators)	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the defendant has committed an offense	he facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
seg 8 951 et seg en 8 965	f 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
2-4.3 3 2 2 0 0 0 d., of 8 3 3 3 a ct 2cd., OK	
	ng the commission of a felony.
rins establishes a reduttable presumption that no condition of	or combination of any title
The committee and the salety of the commit	nity ADB a
X/ presumption applies Violation of Aug	resulted release.
TART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
//-The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to will be ordered detained.	to rebut the applicable presumption[s] and he therefore DRMA
•	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut to	the applicable presumptionful to wite
	11 With Production of the Prod
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF INAPPLICA	ABLE)
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the ex-	ridence that we are 1'4'
	ALL/UD
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evi	dence that no condition to the
advare the safety of ally office belong the community	Market Control of the
FART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DECEMBER.	
177 Inc Court has taken into account the factors set out in 19 II C. 6.2140(2)	
at hearing and finds as follows: The differdant was placed on supplied Alliane for 3	
years after the source of his 105 mints consumed release for 3	
	a sendence emposed by meder whyte
The Villation of Auglovia 9 149	issued a no wail utakant
for Violation of superused reliance.	n 4/03/09 the defendant assecuel
on this Violation wasant. There is a pre	Sumption of delastion fly
reliebed the presumption	the defendant has not
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written	findings,
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General	or his designated representative for confinement in a
The state of the s	
The description of according to according to the private constitution and the second s	
and the same of the second of	
the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	2 South proceduring.
Dated: $4/9/89$	Thing II hu 1. VI
AUSA, ATTY, PTS_	ATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nited States Magistrate Judge